

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/087,081	03/01/2002	Gary W. Grube	P177-US	3189
27520	7590 02/18/2004		EXAMINER	
FORMFACTOR, INC.			WHITMORE, STACY	
LEGAL DEP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2140 RESEARCH DRIVE LIVERMORE, CA 94550			2812	
			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004	<b>\$</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			:_/					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(1)					
	10/087,081	GRUBE ET AL.	•					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit						
	Stacy A Whitmore	2812						
Th MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply	ation appears on the cover sh	et with the correspondenc ac	ddress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO	R REPLY IS SET TO EXPIR	F 1 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30).  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ication. days, a reply within the statutory minimur tory period will apply and will expire SIX (I, by statute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 01 March 2002.							
, ,	☐ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the ap	plication.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement	,						
Application Papers			•					
9) The specification is objected to by the								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
· <del>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </del>	by the Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action of form P	10-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been receive ocuments have been receive	d. d in Application No	. ~.					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action</li> </ul>	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		Stage					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78.	n the first sentence of the sp	ecification or in an Application						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang</li> <li>14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for</li> </ul>			a specific					
reference was included in the first sente								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	0-948) 5) Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application (PToer:						

Application/Control Number: 10/087,081

Art Unit: 2812

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group I, claims 1-25, directed towards a probe head and method of forming a probe card assembly wherein terminals and signal pads are disposed on a first and second surface, respectively; and Group II, claims 26-37, directed towards a probe head and method of forming a probe card assembly wherein signal pads are disposed on a first surface in a pattern in which spacing varies with adjacent pads on the surface.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to N. Kenneth Burraston on January 21, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stacy A Whitmore whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 2812

272-1685. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, alternate Friday 6:30am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on (571) 272-1679. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

> Stacy A Whitmore Primary Examiner Art Unit 2812

Page 4

SAW